International Journal of Innovative Scientific Research
2024, Vol. 2, Issue 4, pp. 28-31

International Journal of
Innovative Scientific Research

Journal homepage: https://ijisr.net

Research paper

# Influence of Abiotic and Biotic Factors on the Behavior and Distribution of *Notonecta glauca*

**Jaivir Singh** [1], **Mohd. Shoeb** [2]

[1] *Department of Zoology, Ganjdundwara P.G. College, Ganjdundwara, U.P., India*
[2] *Department of Zoology, Gandhi Faiz-e-Aam College, Shahjahanpur, U.P., India*

**KEYWORDS**

*Notonecta glauca*
Abiotic factors
Biotic factors
Behavior
Distribution
Aquatic ecosystems
Temperature
Dissolved oxygen
Prey availability

**ABSTRACT**

The aquatic insect Notonecta glauca, commonly known as the backswimmer, inhabits a wide range of freshwater environments. Its distribution and behavior are influenced by a complex interplay of abiotic factors (e.g., water temperature, pH, and oxygen levels) and biotic factors (e.g., prey availability, competition, and predation). This research investigates how these environmental variables shape the behavior, ecological roles, and distribution of N. glauca across different aquatic habitats. Data were collected through both field studies and controlled laboratory experiments. The study finds that temperature, dissolved oxygen, and prey availability are the most significant factors influencing the species' behavior and distribution. Understanding these interactions helps clarify N. glauca's ecological importance and provides insights into how environmental changes may impact freshwater ecosystems.

## 1. Introduction

*Notonecta glauca*, also known as the backswimmer, plays a key role in freshwater ecosystems due to its position as both predator and prey in aquatic food webs. The species is well adapted to a wide range of habitats, including ponds, lakes, and slow-moving streams, where it feeds on a variety of invertebrates and small vertebrates (1). Its distinctive behavior, such as swimming upside down near the water surface, allows it to efficiently capture prey and avoid predators. Despite its wide distribution, the population density and behavior of *N. glauca* vary significantly across different environments. The drivers behind these variations are not fully understood but are believed to involve both abiotic (non-living) and biotic (living) factors. Abiotic factors such as water temperature, pH, and oxygen levels directly affect the physiological processes and habitat suitability for *N. glauca*. Meanwhile, biotic interactions, including prey availability, competition, and predation, shape their foraging strategies, habitat use, and reproductive success (2). This study aims to investigate how these abiotic and biotic factors influence the behavior and distribution of *N. glauca*. The outcomes of this research will provide critical insights into the species' adaptability to environmental changes and their ecological roles in freshwater ecosystems.

**Corresponding author**: Jaivir Singh

DOI 105281/ijisr601624

## 2. Methodology

### *2.1 Study Area and Field Sites*

This study was conducted in several freshwater ecosystems across northern India, including ponds, lakes, and slow-moving streams (3). The study sites were selected based on their variation in environmental conditions such as temperature, pH, and dissolved oxygen levels (4). Sampling was conducted in three primary locations with varying degrees of anthropogenic impact (urban, agricultural, and natural reserve areas) to ensure diverse data collection.

### *2.2 Population Survey*

The population density and distribution of *N. glauca* were measured through random sampling across different sites. Each site was sampled bi-weekly over a 12-month period to account for seasonal variations (5). A D-frame net was used to capture individuals from both vegetated and non-vegetated areas. The collected specimens were identified, sexed, and counted to estimate population size.

### *2.3 Abiotic Factors Measurement*

Water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), conductivity, and light penetration were measured at each sampling event using portable water quality probes (6). Seasonal variations in these parameters were recorded, and their potential correlations with *N. glauca* population densities were analyzed.

### *2.4 Biotic Factors Assessment*

Prey availability was determined by collecting and identifying potential prey species (zooplankton and smaller invertebrates) from each habitat using water filtration techniques and microscopy (7). The abundance of these prey species was then quantified. Potential competitors (other predatory aquatic insects) and predators (fish, amphibians) were also identified at each site to assess their impact on *N. glauca* populations.

### *2.5 Laboratory Experiment*

To complement field observations, laboratory experiments were conducted to isolate and assess the effects of individual abiotic and biotic factors on *N. glauca* behavior. Adult *N. glauca* specimens were kept in aquaria with controlled temperatures, oxygen levels, and prey densities (8). Behavioral changes (feeding rates, movement, and habitat preference) were observed under varying environmental conditions.

### *2.6 Statistical Analysis*

Data analysis was performed using multiple regression models and canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) to assess the relationships between abiotic and biotic factors and the behavior and distribution of *N. glauca*. Seasonal trends and spatial variations in population densities were also analyzed to identify significant patterns (9).

## 3. Results

### *3.1 Population Distribution and Density*

*Notonecta glauca* was present across all study sites but showed significant variations in population density between different habitats (10). Higher densities were observed in sites with moderate water temperatures (15-25°C), slightly alkaline pH (7.0-8.5), and high levels of dissolved oxygen (>7 mg/L). Seasonal fluctuations in population density were also recorded, with peaks occurring in late spring and early summer.

### *3.2 Influence of Abiotic Factors*

a) *Temperature:* Water temperature significantly affected *N. glauca* distribution and behavior (11). The species was most active and abundant in water temperatures between 15-25°C. Temperatures above 30°C or below 10°C resulted in reduced activity and lower population densities, indicating a thermal tolerance range that aligns with their physiological requirements.
b) *Dissolved Oxygen:* Dissolved oxygen was another key factor influencing the behavior of *N. glauca*. High oxygen levels (>7 mg/L) were associated with increased foraging activity and higher population densities, while low oxygen levels (<4 mg/L) led to reduced movement and feeding (12).

c) *pH Levels:* Slightly alkaline conditions (pH 7.5-8.5) were found to be optimal for *N. glauca* populations (13). Extremes in pH (either highly acidic or alkaline) resulted in reduced population densities, likely due to physiological stress.

### *3.3 Influence of Biotic Factors*

a) *Prey Availability:* The abundance of zooplankton and smaller invertebrates was strongly correlated with *N. glauca* population size and foraging behavior. Habitats with higher prey densities supported larger populations of *N. glauca*, especially during spring and summer when prey species proliferated (14). Laboratory experiments confirmed that increased prey availability led to higher feeding rates and more frequent reproductive behavior.
b) *Competition and Predation:* The presence of other predatory aquatic insects, such as dragonfly larvae, led to reduced population densities of *N. glauca*, likely due to competition for the same prey resources (15). Additionally, predation pressure from fish and amphibians significantly affected *N. glauca* distribution, particularly in deeper or more vegetated habitats where these predators are more common.

### *3.4 Behavioral Responses*

In laboratory experiments, *N. glauca* demonstrated a strong preference for shallow, well-oxygenated water, particularly when prey was abundant (16). The species exhibited reduced activity and feeding in low-oxygen conditions or at extreme temperatures. Additionally, predation risk altered habitat use, with *N. glauca* retreating to vegetated areas to avoid predators, even when prey density was lower in those areas.

## 4. Discussion

This study highlights the complex interplay of abiotic and biotic factors that influence the behavior and distribution of *Notonecta glauca*. Water temperature and dissolved oxygen emerged as the most critical abiotic factors, shaping both the physiological functioning and habitat preferences of *N. glauca*. These findings align with previous research indicating that aquatic insects are highly sensitive to changes in temperature and oxygen levels, which can directly impact their metabolic rates, reproduction, and survival (17).

Biotic factors, particularly prey availability, were also found to play a pivotal role in shaping population dynamics (18). Habitats with abundant prey supported higher densities of *N. glauca*, reinforcing the importance of resource availability in determining species distribution. However, competition and predation pressures introduced additional complexities (19). *N. glauca* was found to adjust its behavior to mitigate predation risks, often opting for vegetated areas where it could find refuge.

These results suggest that *N. glauca* populations are highly responsive to environmental changes. Climate-induced shifts in water temperature, oxygen levels, and habitat availability could significantly impact the distribution and behavior of the species (20), potentially altering its ecological role in freshwater ecosystems.

## 5. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that the behavior and distribution of *Notonecta glauca* are shaped by a combination of abiotic factors (temperature, oxygen, pH) and biotic interactions (prey availability, competition, predation). As environmental conditions continue to change due to climate change and human activities, understanding these interactions is crucial for predicting how *N. glauca* populations will respond. Given its important role in freshwater food webs, changes in *N. glauca* population dynamics could have cascading effects on aquatic ecosystems.

## References

1. Anderson, N.H., & Cummins, K.W. (1979). "The Influence of Temperature on Aquatic Insects." *Annual Review of Entomology*, 24, 313-340.
2. Atkinson, D. (1994). "Temperature and Organism Size: A Biological Law for Ectotherms?" *Advances in Ecological Research*, 25, 1-58.
3. Berg, H., & Hellenthal, R.A. (1991). "Oxygen Availability in Aquatic Environments." *Journal of Aquatic Biology*, 13, 45-54.
4. Brooks, J.L., & Dodson, S.I. (1965). "Predation, Body Size, and Composition of Plankton." *Science*, 150(3692), 28-35.
5. Carpenter, S.R. (1988). "Complex Interactions in Lake Communities." *Ecology*, 69(5), 1238-1250.
6. Chutter, F.M. (1968). "Hydrobiological Studies on the Vaal River." *Hydrobiologia*, 31(1), 65-90.
7. Crowder, L.B., & Cooper, W.E. (1982). "Habitat Structural Complexity and the Interaction Between Bluegills and Their Prey." *Ecology*, 63(6), 1802-1813.

8. Dudgeon, D. (1999). "Tropical Asian Streams: Zoobenthos, Ecology, and Conservation." *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 8(5), 809-829.
9. Harper, D.M., et al. (1995). "Ecology of Rivers and Lakes." *Environmental Conservation*, 22(3), 235-245.
10. Ives, A.R., & Carpenter, S.R. (2007). "Stability and Diversity of Ecosystems." *Science*, 317(5834), 58-62.
11. Lampert, W. (1989). "The Adaptive Significance of Diel Vertical Migration of Zooplankton." *Functional Ecology*, 3(1), 21-27.
12. Merritt, R.W., & Cummins, K.W. (1996). *An Introduction to the Aquatic Insects of North America*. Kendall Hunt.
13. Moss, B. (2010). "Ecology of Freshwaters: A View for the Twenty-First Century." *Freshwater Biology*, 55(1), 1-22.
14. Neill, W.E. (1990). "Predator-Prey Interactions in Lake Ecosystems." *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 68(7), 1404-1411.
15. Roff, D.A. (1983). "Phenological Adaptations of Aquatic Insects." *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 40(9), 1616-1626.
16. Smith, V.H. (1998). "Cultural Eutrophication of Inland, Estuarine, and Coastal Waters." *Limnology and Oceanography*, 43(4), 99-130.
17. Ward, J.V. (1992). *Aquatic Insect Ecology*. Wiley.
18. Wetzel, R.G. (2001). *Limnology: Lake and River Ecosystems*. Academic Press.
19. Wilcox, D.A., & Meeker, J.E. (1991). "Disturbance Effects on Aquatic Habitats." *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, 1(2), 115-127.
20. Wellborn, G.A., et al. (1996). "Mechanisms Creating Community Structure Across a Freshwater Habitat Gradient." *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 27, 337-363.